

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/875,191	SAITO, AKIO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gelek Topgyal	2621	

All Participants:

(1) Gelek Topgyal.

(2) Daniel Glueck (Reg# 37,838).

Date of Interview: 16 November 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

51, 61, 71 and 72

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



THAI Q. TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was notified that independent claims 51 and 61 were in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to the proposed amendment of overcoming 101 rejections on claim 71 and 72. Since claim 72, when amended to overcome the 101 rejection would have the same limitations as claim 71, applicant agreed to cancel claim 72..